

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 KENNETH I. EDMONTON,

No. CIV S-05-0855-LKK-CMK-P

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

15 Respondents.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of
18 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules.

20 On May 29, 2007, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the
22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within 20 days. No objections to the findings
23 and recommendations have been filed.

24 Although it appears from the file that the findings and recommendations were
25 returned, the parties were properly served. It is the responsibility of the parties to keep the court
26 apprised of their address of record at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(d), service of

1 documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

2 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
3 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.


4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

5 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 29, 2007, are adopted in
6 full;

7 2. Petitioner's amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and

8 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

9 DATED: August 2, 2007.

10
11 
12 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
13 SENIOR JUDGE
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26